**Hope for the Best, and Prepare for the Worst**

Mr. J., a 40-year-old father of two young children, has metastatic non–small-cell lung cancer that has not responded to two different chemotherapy regimens. His physician, Dr. B., explains that the cancer is progressing. Mr. J. says, “Isn’t there something you can do? Please don’t give up on me.” Dr. B. pauses and says, “Well, there is an experimental protocol we could try.”

When faced with life-threatening illness, patients and physicians often feel that they must choose between hoping for disease remission and preparing for death. Mr. J. wants to fight the cancer in hope of living longer, and his physician is reluctant to discuss palliative care issues because she does not want to “destroy his hope” (1). Dr. B. knows that a patient in Mr. J.’s situation rarely benefits from third-line chemotherapy, and she also knows that by offering aggressive treatment she may be colluding with Mr. J. to avoid discussing the most likely prospect—that he is dying (2). Dr. B. is unsure how to proceed.

When patients and physicians discuss life-threatening illness by focusing exclusively on hope, they may miss important opportunities to improve pain and symptom management (3, 4), respond to underlying fears and concerns, explore life closure (5), and deepen the patient–physician relationship. The difficulty for physicians is acknowledging and supporting the patient’s hopes while recognizing the severity of the patient’s disease, thus offering an opportunity to discuss end-of-life concerns.

Hoping for a cure and preparing for potential death need not be mutually exclusive. Both patients and physicians want to hope for the best. At the same time, some patients also want to discuss their concerns about dying, and others probably should prepare because they are likely to die sooner rather than later. Although it may seem contradictory, hoping for the best while at the same time preparing for the worst is a useful strategy for approaching patients with potentially life-limiting illness. By acknowledging all the possible outcomes, patients and their physicians can expand their medical focus to include disease-modifying and symptomatic treatments and attend to underlying psychological, spiritual, and existential issues.

**Framing the Discussion**

This strategy of simultaneously hoping for the best and preparing for the worst may initially seem inconsistent and contradictory: How can you talk about chemotherapy and palliative care? Our strategy embraces the divided thinking many patients, families, and even health care providers have, which is often not logically consistent because of the profound ambivalence and vacillation they experience.

This strategy is derived, in part, from classic studies of human behavior during illness. Sociologists Glaser and Strauss (6) described patients who experienced an “open awareness” of their impending deaths and discussed it explicitly with family and caregivers. Kubler–Ross (7) built on this work by describing stages of dying, culminating in a stage she called “acceptance.” However, most patients do not progress linearly through Kubler–Ross’s stages. Living with a terminal illness is often marked by ambivalence about being a dying person. Weissman (8) described a period of “middle knowledge,” in which patients vacillate between the state of continuing to live and plan and the state of preparing for death. Similarly, McCormick and Conley (9) interviewed patients who spoke of a “living–dying” period late in their illness. These studies and others (10, 11) indicate that vacillation between living and dying is common to many patients like Mr. J.

Using this strategy frames the discussion to include both living and dying. It may seem paradoxical to simultaneously explore living and dying, since these states are mutually exclusive in common parlance. However, this framing may enable Dr. B., Mr. J., and Mr. J.’s family to have a deeper conversation than if they focused just on dying or living. The following guidelines are useful.

1. **Give Equal Air Time to Hoping and Preparing**

   Dr. B: Could you tell me more about what you are hoping for? That will help me do a better job for you.

   There are legitimate reasons why physicians should encourage patients to hope, such as disease remission or improvement in health status. Hope is a critical element for coping with illness (12). Most patients expect and want physicians to be advocates for health and longevity. Even if the media frequently exaggerate the power of medicine, many patients have beaten the odds. Patients frequently cite hope as the most important element of their coping
and seek clinicians who will search every avenue of hope (13).

Physicians also want to hope for the best. Many physicians pursued medicine because they wanted to cure diseases, make patients feel better, and help relieve suffering (14). Articulating hopes for extending a patient’s life can affirm this aspect of medicine. Some medical therapies, such as chemotherapy, make patients feel worse in the short run. To give these therapies day after day requires that oncologists believe in their ability to provide long-term benefit. Providing hope to patients is psychologically gratifying because patients and families are often grateful to doctors who hope. Shared hopes offer physicians an opportunity to align with their patient.

3. Encourage but Do Not Impose the Dual Agenda of Hoping and Preparing

Dr. B.: It’s good for me to know about your hopes. It helps me get to know you better. Do you also want to talk about your concerns if things do not go as we hope?

Mr. J.: I’m really committed to trying this new treatment, and I feel like you are kind of giving up on me with this “preparing for the worst” stuff.

Dr. B.: I share your hope that the new treatment will benefit you. I want you to have the best medicine available. I talk about hoping for the best and preparing for the worst with all my patients who are seriously ill. Preparing for the worst doesn’t mean I’m giving up on you; it helps me arrange the best medical care for you, no matter what happens.

When physicians initiate a conversation about preparing for the worst, patients and family members may react with fear, sadness, anxiety, or anger. Blocking or ignoring such emotions sends a nonverbal message that the physician is uncomfortable in discussing the worst and discourages patients from honestly discussing their concerns (15).

There can be positive consequences for patients who prepare for the worst, which physicians can underscore, and these can be powerful motivators. By preparing for the worst—by making a living will, naming a health care proxy, preparing financial matters, or settling family affairs—patients can address fears, clarify priorities, and strengthen relationships with loved ones, all components of a good death identified in empirical studies of patients with life-threatening illnesses (16, 17). Furthermore, some patients may be thinking about the worst but are afraid to discuss it for fear of frightening their family or disappointing their physician. One study indicates that unarticulated concerns correlate with increased anxiety and depression (18). Naming and discussing these concerns, which often deal with spiritual and existential issues, is an important first step for patients.

Physicians can also benefit from discussing the worst. These discussions allow physicians to feel that they are being honest with patients and, if done in the context of a supportive relationship, can increase patient trust. Patients and families who prepare for a range of outcomes may be less likely to blame their physicians for the consequences of disease progression.

4. Support the Evolution of Hope and Preparation over Time

Mr. J.: I don’t want to think about preparing for the worst.

Dr. B.: It sounds like this is hard to think about.

Mr. J.: You bet it is.

Dr. B.: I wonder if you could say what makes it hard to think about?

Mr. J.: [pause] I’m worried that my wife won’t be able to deal with this.

Knowing why a patient is reluctant to discuss these matters is important data for the physician. It may be enough to note the patient’s hesitance about the discussion and plan to return to the issue at a future visit. Often the seed is planted even if it is not specifically discussed. Mr. J.’s willingness to talk about preparing for the worst may slowly increase over successive discussions as he begins to trust that his physician will hope and prepare at the same time.

Time the initial discussion early in the illness, and revisit the issues regularly. Talking about hope and preparation allows “big picture” discussions to begin. By regularly visiting the issues, physicians can normalize the idea that talking about death is one aspect of discussions between a patient and physician. The issues can then be revisited at times when a change in strategy is contemplated and the relative emphasis on relief of suffering and treatment of disease is brought into question. Time and disease progression may enable a patient to acknowledge his illness and the potential consequences. A physician may need to be more direct late in the patient’s illness, while acknowledging that these topics are difficult. By revisiting hope and preparation regularly during the illness, Dr. B. can enable Mr. J.’s thinking to evolve and foster constructive ways of coping. Physicians should judge success in communication by the quality and depth of discussion and the adequacy of the plans jointly developed to guide medical care. However, if a patient has made reasonable plans but does not wish to talk in depth about dying, that patient’s desires should be respected.

5. Respect Hopes and Fears, and Respond to Emotions

Mr. J.: You know, I’m really worried about my wife. I’m worried about leaving her alone.

Dr. B.: It sounds like you care a great deal about her.

Physicians can use communication strategies of responding to emotions, including acknowledgment, exploration, legitimation, and empathy (19) (Table). These strategies enable physicians to frame their concerns for the patient relative to the patient’s concerns (Mr. J., I can see that taking care of your family is a major priority, and I have some ideas about how to do that in case the treatment doesn’t go the way we hope). This framing can help patient and physician reach common ground. Most patients want to
hear their physician’s true opinions and recommendations as long as the physician is not too blunt (20, 21). Compassion can take the form of giving recommendations in light of what the physician knows about the patient’s values, medical situation, goals, and fears. A physician need not fully share a patient’s hopes or fears to respect, learn about, and respond to them.

Physicians often have emotional responses to their patients, and these emotions shape their relationship with the patient and family. These emotions, if unmonitored, can influence the physician–patient communication and relationship in unintended ways. For example, physicians may feel like the patient “does not get it” and feel angry that they are being asked to provide care that is unlikely to work (22). Sometimes a physician’s emotions can be a clue to how the patient is feeling; other times, physicians may come to understand that they are reacting to personal feelings of their own about death and dying (23). When trying to sort out one’s own strong reactions, conversation with a trusted colleague can be clarifying.

**OTHER CLINICAL SCENARIOS**

Not every patient approaches a life-threatening illness like Mr. J. A patient who primarily prepares for the worst might benefit from a physician invitation to hope for the best. For example, a 65-year-old woman with breast cancer that is estrogen receptor positive and metastatic to bone but not visceral organs says that she is terrified of dying in pain and, consequently, is stockpiling pain medication. Her physician might explore this fear and learn that the patient had a difficult experience with a close friend who died of cancer and that her other fears include missing her first grandchild’s birth expected in 3 months. The physician could reassure her and also invite her to hope (I can assure you that sophisticated pain control will be available if you need it. I think we can also hope that the tamoxifen will control the cancer for many months with minimal toxicity. It is entirely possible to hope that you will be present when your grandchild is born.).

This approach is also applicable to patients with non-cancer illnesses. For example, a 55-year-old man with end-stage congestive heart failure who is waiting for a heart transplant might also benefit from a discussion about hoping and preparing (I am hoping that a heart will become available for you, and we want to do everything we can to keep you ready. I also want to be prepared if a heart does not come up and your own heart gets worse.). The question “If time turns out to be short, what would be most important for you to accomplish?” may facilitate an important conversation.

**PITFALLS OF FOCUSING EXCLUSIVELY ON HOPING OR PREPARING**

Hoping and preparing minimizes the weakness of each strategy on its own. Focusing only on hope may leave patients unaware of their limited life expectancy (24). Such patients are more likely to choose life-prolonging therapies such as cardiopulmonary resuscitation in situations where these therapies are rarely effective (25, 26). Thus, when physicians focus only on hope, patients may unrealistically focus their time and energy on treatments that are unlikely to work. Physicians who focus exclusively on hope also may feel that they have not been honest with patients, which can make it more difficult for them to confront the limitations of medicine (22). These physicians withdraw when it becomes clear that a patient is dying; patients sense

---

*Table. Responding to Hopes and Fears*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Says:</th>
<th>Physician Responds:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“I hope that I can live a little longer.”</td>
<td>“I hope you can live longer, too. What would be most important for you in that time?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I hope that the treatment will help me.”</td>
<td>“I’m also hoping that the treatment will help you. If it works, what will be most important for you to do? I wonder, also, if you would be willing to talk about something else?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I’m concerned that talking about the worst will be overwhelming.”</td>
<td>“Hmmm. . . . Talking about the worst can be frightening at first, but most of the patients I have worked with have found it helpful in the long run.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I’m worried that talking about the worst would be giving up.”</td>
<td>“I understand your concern, but we are not talking about giving up on treatment. We are asking you to consider what would be most important if treatment does not work as we both hope.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I don’t want to talk about what I’m worried about.”</td>
<td>“Okay. I realize that talking about worries can be a hard thing to do. If you do want to talk about these issues in the future, I would be open to it.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These examples show how physicians can respond to both hopes and fears as they discuss hoping for the best and preparing for the worst with their patients. To use this strategy effectively, physicians should explore patients’ hopes and fears and respect patients’ boundaries. Note that the suggested physician responses do not move immediately toward solving problems or reassuring. Premature reassurance can make patients feel their concerns are not heard. These responses suggest ways to deepen the conversation and better understand patient concerns.
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Personae

In an effort to bring people to the pages and cover of Annals, the editors invite readers to submit photographs of people for publication. We are looking for photographs that catch people in the context of their lives and that capture personality. Annals will publish photographs in black and white, and black-and-white submissions are preferred. We will also accept color submissions, but the decision to publish a photograph will be made after the image is converted to black and white. Slides or prints are acceptable. Print sizes should be standard (3” x 5”, 4” x 6”, 5” x 7”, 8” x 10”). Photographers should send two copies of each photograph. We cannot return photographs, regardless of publication. We must receive written permission to publish the photograph from the subject (or subjects) of the photograph or the subject’s guardian if he or she is a child. A cover letter assuring no prior publication of the photograph and providing permission from the photographer for Annals to publish the image must accompany all submissions. The letter must also contain the photographer’s name, academic degrees, institutional affiliation, mailing address, and telephone and fax numbers.

Selected Personae submissions will also appear on the cover of Annals. We look forward to receiving your photographs.
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